

JUN 18 2004 10:11 FR KC LEGAL DEPT

770 587 7327 TO 917038729306

1732
P.01



Kimberly-Clark Corporation

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 18 2004

Facsimile

OFFICIAL

	Name	Company	Fax Number
To:	Primary Examiner Edmund H. Lee	USPTO Art Unit 1732	703-872-9306
Subject:	Appln. No. 10/034,042 filed December 27, 2001 (Confirmation No. 1822)		

From:	Nathan Hendon	Page:	1 of 6
Dept:	Patent	Date:	June 18, 2004
Loc	Roswell, GA	Time:	10:05 A.M. (EST)

Transmitted herewith are the following:

-- 5 pages in response to Office Action mailed on March 23, 2004 by Primary Examiner Edmund H. Lee.

This facsimile is for the use of the addressee only and may contain privileged or confidential information that is exempt from disclosure under law. If you are not the addressee or responsible for delivering it to him or her, please know that dissemination, distribution, or copying of this facsimile is prohibited. If you have received this in error, please telephone us promptly and destroy the original.

If you have a problem with or a question about this facsimile, contact:

Name: Nathan Hendon

Fax: 770-587-7327

Phone: 770-587-8640

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 18 2004

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

OFFICIAL

Applicant:	Maris Vistins	Docket	15999
Serial No.:	10/034,042	TC/A.U.:	1732
Confirmation No:	1822	Examiner:	Edmund H. Lee
Filed:	December 27, 2001	Date:	June 18, 2004
For:	Colored High-Protective Multi-Layered Polymer Coated Articles and Method of Making Same		

Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response – A

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 and in response to the Office Action mailed March 23, 2004, the following amendments and remarks are submitted for your consideration and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Regarding Examiner's rejections

1. Rejection for anticipation by Richardson et al.

By way of the Office Action mailed March 23, 2004, claims 9, 15, and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Richardson et al. (EP 0672509 A2). This rejection is respectfully traversed to the extent that it may apply to the present claims.

The method of Richardson '509 produces a rubber article by first applying a liquid elastomer to a former, after which a separation material is applied on top of this first layer, and then a liquid elastomer is applied to this separation material (column 1, lines 19 – 50). Using such a process, the elastomeric bodies preferentially adhere to the separation material rather than each other (column 3, lines 31 - 36). The two layers are separated by the separation material to enhance detection of any penetration of liquid between the layers (column 3, lines 36 – 38). Such separation is also desired to allow the separation material to act as a release agent that eases the separation